Replacement of Recycled Aggregate in Concrete

Rachit Khandelwal¹ and Shivam Gupta²

¹Under Graduate Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jaypee University of Engineering & Technology, Guna, M.P. ²Under Graduate Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jaypee University of Engineering & Technology, Guna, M.P. E-mail: ¹rachit611@gmail.com, ²shivam04june@gmail.com

Abstract—The use of recycled aggregates from construction and demolition wastes is showing prospective application in construction as alternative to natural aggregates. It conserves natural resources and reduces the space required for the landfill disposal. There is also a public will to reduce the use of primary aggregates in construction, including concrete. Use of recycled aggregate in concrete can be described in environmental protection and economical terms. The application of recycled aggregate to use in construction activities have been practice by developed European countries and also of some Asian countries. Recycled aggregates have evolved towards a worthy alternative for natural aggregates. Energy efficiency, sustainable construction and the use of recycled resources together with renewable energy sources are becoming priorities of contemporary construction process. In order to achieve the best ecology-to-quality ratio considering materials, appropriate selections should be made. This is a research paper based on proportion of coarse aggregates replacement. The study represents the several mechanical properties as compressive and tensile strengths. The study shows a good correlation between aggregates replacement percentage and concrete properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of construction waste as a source of aggregate for the production of new concrete has become more common in the recent decade. The increasing charges for landfill, on the one hand, and the scarcity of natural resources for aggregate, on the other hand, encourage the use of waste from construction sites as a source for aggregates.

The applications of recycled aggregate in construction have started since end of World War II by demolished concrete pavement as recycled aggregate in stabilizing the base course for road construction. The advantages of using recycle aggregate concrete in construction industry are of economic values and environmental issues. The wastes from construction and demolition works are of large volume and increasing in time. To overcome this issue, sustainable concrete construction industry. One way of achieving these is to introduce recycled aggregates from these wastes of construction and demolition works into the production of concrete Any construction activity requires several materials such as concrete, steel, brick, stone, glass, clay, mud, wood, and so on. However, the cement concrete remains the main construction material used in construction industries. For its suitability and adaptability with respect to the changing environment, the concrete must be such that it can conserve resources, protect the environment, economize and lead to proper utilization of energy. To achieve this, major emphasis must be laid on the use of wastes and by products in cement and concrete used for new constructions. The utilization of recycled aggregate is particularly very promising as 75 per cent of concrete is made of aggregates. In that case, the aggregates considered are slag, power plant wastes, recycled concrete, mining and quarrying wastes, waste glass, incinerator residue, red mud, burnt clay, sawdust, combustor ash and foundry sand. The enormous quantities of demolished concrete are available at various construction sites, which are now posing a serious problem of disposal in urban areas. This can easily be recycled as aggregate and used in concrete. Research & Development activities have been taken up all over the world for proving its feasibility, economic viability and cost effectiveness.

An investigation conducted by the environmental resources ltd. (1979) for European Environmental commission (EEC) envisages that there will be enormous increase in the available quantities of construction and demolition concrete waste from 55 million tons in 1980 to 302 million tons by the year 2020 in the EEC member countries. As a whole, the safety and environment regulations are becoming stringent, demand for improvement in techniques & efficiency of the past demolition methods is getting pronounced. Special rules and regulations concerning the demolition have already been introduced in several countries like U.K., Holland and Japan.



Fig 1: Construction & Demolition Waste

2. MATERIAL USED

2.1.Cement

Portland Pozzolana Cement was used. Its physical properties are as given Table 1.

Table 1: Physical Properties of PPC Cement

| Physical Property | Results Obtained |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fineness (Blaine's) | 346 m ² /Kg |
| Normal Consistency | 30.5% |
| Vicat initial setting time (minutes) | 185 |
| Vicat final setting time (minutes) | 245 |
| Compressive strength 3-days (MPa) | 16.3 |
| Compressive strength 7-days (MPa) | 22.8 |
| Specific gravity | 2.82 |

2.2.Fly ash

Fly ash which was obtained from Chhabra Thermal Plant, Rajasthan was used.

Table 2: Chemical Properties of Fly Ash

| S. No | Constituents | Percentage |
|-------|--|------------|
| 1. | Silica (SiO ₂) | 62.96 |
| 2. | Alumina (Al ₂ O ₃) | 19.38 |
| 3. | Iron Oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃) | 8.10 |
| 4. | Loss Of Ignition | 0.89 |

2.3.Aggregate

Locally available natural sand with 4.75 mm maximum size was used as fine aggregate, having specific gravity, fineness modulus and unit weight. Both fine aggregate and coarse aggregate conformed to Indian Standard Specifications IS: 383-1970. The physical properties of the coarse and fine aggregates are as under:

Table 3: Physical Properties of Coarse Aggregate & Recycled Coarse Aggregate

| Physical tests | Coarse aggregate | Recycled Coarse aggregate |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.70 | 2.55 |
| Bulk density (kg/m ^{3}) | 1650 | 1405 |
| Water Absorption (%) | 0.3 | 2.41 |

Table 4: Physical Properties of Fine Aggregate

| Physical tests | Fine Aggregate |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.6 |
| Fineness modulus | 2.7 |
| Bulk density (kg/m ³) | 1460 |
| Water Absorption (%) | 0.16 |

3. TEST METHODS

The samples for Recycled Coarse Aggregate (RCA) was obtained from the crushing facility where it undergoes a threefold process of Dumping, Crushing and Screening .Dumping was facilitated by Hopper then the Jaw crusher executed the crushing process followed with Rotary Screening where the sample is separated in different heaps of aggregate upto 4.75 mm, 10mm and 20mm.The sample used was the one passing through 4.75 mm sieve.

4. MIX DESIGN

Indian Standard Recommended Method of Concrete Mix Design (IS 10262 – 2009)

| Table 5: Mix design fo | or M30 and M40 |
|------------------------|----------------|
|------------------------|----------------|

| Parameter | M30 | M40 |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| W.C Ratio | 0.45 | 0.37 |
| Mix Ratio | 1:1.53:2.84 | 1:1.43:2.66 |
| Cement | 400.0kg | 432.43kg |
| Fine Agg. | 612.52 kg | 621.27 kg |
| Coarse Agg. | 1137.55 kg | 1153.80 kg |
| Water | 180kg | 160kg |

The Experimental Program was carried out by testing the under mentioned properties of M30 and M40 concrete mix and this was put along the replacement mixes starting from the Standard to the 50% replacement mix with the interval of 10% in each mix. The replacement was done partially replacing the Coarse Aggregate with the Recycle Aggregate obtained from the Construction and Demolition Waste.

Table 6: Batch Volumes Per m³ Of Concrete for M30

| Specimen | A00 | A10 | A20 | A30 | A40 | A50 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Cement | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| F. A. | 612. | 612. | 612. | 612. | 612. | 612. |
| | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| RCA | 0 | 113. | 227. | 341. | 455. | 568. |
| | | 75 | 51 | 25 | 00 | 75 |
| C. A. | 113 | 1023 | 910. | 796. | 682. | 568. |
| | 7.55 | .80 | 05 | 30 | 55 | 80 |
| Water | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |

| Table 7: Batch | Volumes Per m ³ | Of Concrete for M40 |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|

| Specimen | B00 | B10 | B20 | B30 | B40 | B50 |
|----------|------------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Cement | 432 | 432. | 432. | 432. | 432. | 432 |
| | .43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | .43 |
| F. A. | 621 | 621. | 621. | 621. | 621. | 621 |

| | .27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | .27 |
|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|
| RCA | 0 | 115. | 230. | 346. | 461. | 576 |
| | | 38 | 76 | 14 | 52 | .90 |
| C. A. | 115 | 1038 | 923. | 807. | 692. | 576 |
| | 3.8 | .42 | 04 | 66 | 28 | .90 |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| Water | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |

5. TEST RESULTS

5.1.Workability Result

Table 8: Slump Test of Concrete for M30

| MIX | SLUMP (mm) |
|-----|------------|
| A00 | 83 |
| A10 | 80 |
| A20 | 78 |
| A30 | 75 |
| A40 | 72 |
| A50 | 68 |

 Table 9. Slump Test of Concrete for M40

| MIX | SLUMP (mm) |
|-----|------------|
| B00 | 76 |
| B10 | 74 |
| B20 | 71 |
| B30 | 67 |
| B40 | 65 |
| B50 | 61 |

5.2. Compressive Strength Result

Table 10: Compressive strengthresult Of Concrete for M30

| Mix | Compressive Strength (MPa) | | |
|-------|----------------------------|---------|---------|
| IVIIX | 7 days | 28 days | 90 days |
| A00 | 32.70 | 39.80 | 42.30 |
| A10 | 34.31 | 48.19 | 51.64 |
| A20 | 33.68 | 47.36 | 50.76 |
| A30 | 32.94 | 42.10 | 44.95 |
| A40 | 31.07 | 35.42 | 37.65 |
| A50 | 29.10 | 33.03 | 35.11 |

Table 11. Compressive strength result Of Concrete for M40

| Mix | Compressive Strength (MPa) | | |
|-------|----------------------------|---------|---------|
| IVIIX | 7 days | 28 days | 90 days |
| B00 | 43.90 | 49.20 | 53.20 |

| B10 | 46.50 | 59.57 | 64.95 |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| B20 | 45.12 | 58.64 | 64.91 |
| B30 | 44.63 | 52.09 | 63.87 |
| B40 | 41.67 | 43.84 | 47.35 |
| B50 | 39.27 | 40.89 | 44.26 |

5.3. Flexure Strength Result

| Table 12. Flexure strength rest | ult Of Concrete for M30 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|

| Mix | Flexure Strength (MPa) | | |
|-----|------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 7 days | 28 days | 90 days |
| A00 | 4.00 | 4.41 | 4.63 |
| A10 | 3.91 | 4.32 | 4.61 |
| A20 | 3.78 | 4.15 | 4.39 |
| A30 | 3.66 | 3.98 | 4.21 |
| A40 | 3.52 | 3.90 | 4.17 |
| A50 | 3.42 | 3.82 | 4.05 |

Table 13: Flexure strength result Of Concrete for M40

| Mix | Flexure Strength (MPa) | | |
|-----|------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 7 days | 28 days | 90 days |
| B00 | 4.63 | 4.90 | 5.14 |
| B10 | 4.52 | 4.84 | 5.02 |
| B20 | 4.43 | 4.73 | 4.93 |
| B30 | 4.34 | 4.58 | 4.74 |
| B40 | 4.20 | 4.43 | 4.63 |
| B50 | 4.16 | 4.38 | 4.58 |

6. RESULT ANALYSIS

- a) The value of slump shows a decreasing trend as the percent replacement of coarse aggregate with recycled coarse aggregate was increased by 10 % .The pattern obtained maybe due to higher water absorption of RCA in comparison to virgin coarse aggregate.
- b) The compressive strength was observed to be increase both in M30 and M40 with the increment of RCA upto 30%, the compressive strength increase upto 20%. After increasing the percentage of RCA above 30% the compressive strength decreases.
- c) The flexure strength was observed to be decrease both in M30 and M40 with the increment of RCA, the flexure strength decrease upto 15%.

7. CONCLUSION

Recycling and reuse of building wastes have been found to be an appropriate solution to the problems of dumping hundreds of thousands tons of debris accompanied with shortage of natural aggregates. The quality of recycled aggregate directly depends on the quality and composition of C&D waste. Composition and quality of construction and demolition waste have influence on thequality of recycled aggregate produced from waste. The use of recycled aggregates in concrete proves to be a valuable building material in technical, environment and economical respect.

Based on the test results it was found that the strength of the concrete mix was increasing with the increase in replacement percentages of coarse aggregate by recycled coarse aggregate when replacement is upto 30%. It can be inferred from the study that, compressive strength of both M30 and M40 grades of concrete has been observed upto the 30% replacement of coarse aggregate with recycled coarse aggregate. Recycled coarse aggregate has porous structure and high water absorption which resulted in loss of workability at high percent replacements. This property maybe due to the presence of adhered mortar on the surface of recycled coarse aggregate upto 30% of coarse aggregate is recommendable in concrete mixes.

REFERENCES

- Roshan S. Shetty "Construction and Demolition waste An Overview of Construction Industry in India" International Journal of Chemical, Environmental & Biological Sciences (IJCEBS) Volume 1, Issue 4 (2013)
- [2] Hansen, T.D. and Narud, Strength of recycled concrete made from crushed concrete coarse aggregate,ACI, Concrete International, Design and Construction, Feb. 1983, pp79 83. F.T. Olorunsogoa, N. Padayacheeb, Performance of recycled aggregate concrete monitored by durability indexes, Cement and Concrete Research, Vol.32 (2002), 179-185.
- [3] Sami W. Tabsh, Akmal S. Abdelfatah, Influence of recycled concrete aggregates on strength properties of concrete, Construction and Building Materials. 23 (2009), 1163–1167
- [4] A.K. Padmini, K. Ramamurthy , M.S. Mathews Influence of parent concrete on the properties of recycled aggregate concrete, Construction and Building Materials 23 (2009), 829–836
- [5] Marta Sánchez de Juan, PilarAlaejos Gutiérrez, Study on the influence of attached mortar content on the properties of recycled concrete aggregate, Construction and Building Materials 23(2009), 872–877
- [6] M. C. Limbachiya, T. Leelawat and R. K. Dhir, Use of recycled concrete aggregate in high strength concrete, Materials and Structures/Matiaux et Constructions, Vol. 33, November 2000, PP-574-580
- [7] IS 10262-2009: Guidelines for concrete mix design proportioning
- [8] IS 383:1970 : Specifications for coarse and fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete
- [9] IS 456:2000: Plain and Reinforced concrete code of practice
- [10] IS 1199:1959: Methods of sampling and analysis of concrete
- [11] IS 516:1959: Method of tests for strength of concrete
- [12] IS 5816:1999: Splitting tensile strength of test method of test
- [13] A.M Neville ,Properties of Concrete ,Edition 2000

- [14] International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Volume 1, No 4, 2011
- [15] International Journal of the Physical Sciences VOL. 5(9), PP. 1372-1380, 18 August, 2010
- [16] 34th Conference on OUR WORLD IN CONCRETE & STRUCTURES: 16 – 18 August 2009,Singapore use of recycled concrete aggregate in making concrete-an overview S R Yadav*, National Institute of Construction, Management and Research (NICMAR), India S R Pathak, College of Engineering Pune (COEP), Pune, India
- [17] Holcim, Increase of resource efficiency and improving living conditions in developing and emerging countries – A contribution of the cement and construction industry, Reuse and Recycling of Construction and Demolition Waste, Oct 2007
- [18] IlkerBekirTOpCU and NedimFuat, USING WASTE CONCRETE AS AGGREGATE Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 25, No. 7. pp. 1385-1390.1995
- [19] Frondistou-Yannas, S., Waste Concrete as aggregate for new concrete, AC1 Journal, Proc., Vol. 74, No.8, Aug. 1977, pp. 373-376.
- [20] Olorusongo, F.T. (1999). "Early Age Properties of Recycled Aggregate Concrete". Proceeding of the InternationalSeminar on Exploiting Wastes in Concrete held at the University of Dundee, Scotland, UK on 7 September 1999, PP-163-170.